### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

# **SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- o Definitive Proxy Statement
- x Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

# THERAVANCE, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.

0

0

- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
  - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
    - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  - (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
- (3) Filing Party:
- (4) Date Filed:

### May 7, 2012

Re: Theravance's 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders — May 15, 2012 Proposal 3, Advisory Vote Regarding Executive Compensation ("Say on Pay")

#### Dear Stockholder:

By now you should have received Theravance's Notice of the 2012 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement. You can also view our Proxy Statement at http://investor.theravance.com/secfiling.cfm?filingID=1047469-12-4297&CIK=1080014.

We are writing to ask for your support at the Annual Meeting by voting in accordance with the recommendations of our Board of Directors on all proposals. In particular, we want to request your support on Proposal 3, Advisory Vote Regarding Executive Compensation ("Say on Pay").

Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) has recommended that Theravance stockholders vote against this proposal. We disagree with the ISS recommendation and believe that it reflects a general lack of understanding about drug research and development companies and a specific lack of understanding about both our business model and our CEO compensation program.

Our position can be summarized as follows:

- ISS based its conclusion that Theravance's CEO pay was above market using a peer group selected based on revenue size. We believe market capitalization is a much more meaningful measure of company size for our industry because it reflects stockholders' projected value of the product pipeline of research and development-stage drug companies. Our business model emphasizes future relative profitability over current revenue. By employing partnership agreements where the primary economic benefit accruing to our company is royalty income, our current and near-term future revenues will be lower than if we utilized a business model that sought to maximize absolute revenues. Our investors value Theravance based on future royalty streams as opposed to absolute revenue. Given our business model, market capitalization is a much better metric than revenue for peer group selection.
- ISS inflated Theravance's 2011 CEO pay using a flawed methodology, which: (1) attributed a larger-than-normal initial equity award that is the first part of a three-year equity program to a single year, and (2) valued a six-year performance-contingent equity award at its maximum potential value, while we consider the possibility remote that sufficient performance goals will be achieved for the award to fully vest. In accordance with SEC rules, no value related to this performance-contingent award was included in the 2011 Summary Compensation Table in our proxy statement as it is not probable that the full amount of this award will be earned.

1

Theravance would not have any "high" concern ratings under ISS' CEO Pay for Performance Test, if either: (1) Theravance's internal peer group were used to evaluate our pay and performance, or (2) Theravance's CEO's 2011 compensation were valued in a manner taking into account the high risk associated with the performance-contingent awards, and compared to ISS' flawed peer group.

#### Why the ISS Peer Group is Not Appropriate

We take issue with the "one-size-fits all" peer group selected by ISS to benchmark our CEO compensation. We believe that it fails to recognize that investors in drug research and development companies do not use revenue as a key measure of organizational size, scope, and complexity. *It further ignores the fact that Theravance has a market capitalization value that is over eight times larger than the median peer used by ISS in its analysis*, and that this higher market value naturally drives higher equity grant value.

We agree that company size matters in setting compensation, and we consider the market value ascribed by our investors to the future value of Theravance's product pipeline, for which management is responsible, as a better size measure. Therefore, we developed our peer group (with advice from our independent compensation consultant) based primarily on market capitalization, development stage and labor market, and set CEO compensation using the pay practices of these companies as a guide.

This difference in comparator groups is the core source of the difference between the recommendations of our Board and ISS on the Say on Pay proposal, and as shown below (and in the Appendix with more detail), ISS chose to evaluate our CEO's pay against a group of companies that we believe are smaller and generally less mature enterprises.

|  | Theravance Size Ranking                             |                            |  |  |  |
|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Size Measure<br>(\$ millions) <sup>2</sup> | Internal<br>Compensation<br>Peer Group <sup>3</sup> | ISS<br>Comparator<br>Group |  |  |  |
| Market Capitalization                      | 10 of 17  | 2 of 22                    |  |  |  |
| Revenues                                   | 17 of 17  | 10 of 22                   |  |  |  |

<sup>1</sup> Based on data from the Standard & Poor's Research Insight database (effective 5/01/12), which may differ from the financial information as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

- <sup>2</sup> Market capitalization is measured as of 12/31/11. Revenues reflect the most recent fiscal year end (as of 12/31/11).
- 3 Excludes OSI Pharmaceuticals and ZymoGenetics, both of which have been acquired.
- 4 Excludes Micromet, which has been acquired.

2

Market capitalization, a key component of which is stock price, is the primary driver of equity compensation grant value, and equity compensation grant value is the single largest component of CEO compensation at Theravance and the peer group. Obviously, higher market capitalization companies have higher equity compensation grant values because their stock price is higher, even if the percentage of stockholder value offered to management as equity compensation is not different. For example, assume companies A and B have identical revenues of \$25 million and both have 100 million shares outstanding, but company A's market capitalization is \$230 million (i.e., a \$2.30 per share stock price) as compared to company B's \$1.6 billion market capitalization (i.e., a \$16 per share stock price). It is logical that company B would provide more annual equity grant value to its CEO because it has a higher price at the time of grant. However, the ISS analysis, which effectively compares Theravance to peers with median market cap 1/8<sup>th</sup> our size, suggests that both companies should have the same grant value because both companies have the same revenues. This simply doesn't make sense.

*If ISS had used Theravance's internal compensation peer group, then we would have passed the ISS CEO compensation tests without being in the "high" concern range on any quantitative measure.* This is true even using ISS' flawed valuation of our CEO's pay (discussed in more detail below), which failed to annualize the front-loaded restricted stock award amount over the three year period and valued a challenging six-year performance-contingent award at the maximum possible earn-out (this award was not expected to fund at the time of grant, and Theravance still considers the likelihood of achieving sufficient milestones to earn the full award as remote).

## ISS' Flawed Quantitative Pay Analysis

With respect to ISS' quantitative pay analysis, we note the following methodological flaws:

- ISS uses mostly smaller market cap companies in its analysis (the median market cap is 1/8<sup>th</sup> our size), so it is no surprise that our CEO's compensation was viewed as being relatively higher.
- ISS values a multi-year front-loaded grant of restricted stock awards (RSAs) as one year of compensation for our CEO, when in fact it is intended as roughly two years of compensation (in a three-year program), supported by an explicit written commitment not to grant our CEO more equity than contemplated under the original three-year program through 2012 and 2013. As disclosed in our proxy statement, the intention of the Compensation Committee was to provide the equivalent of 110,000 RSAs in 2011, 2012, and 2013, resulting in a three-year total of 330,000 RSAs. To augment retention, this was delivered as 220,000 RSAs in 2011 (with an additional fifth year of vesting). Further, instead of granting an additional 55,000 RSAs in both 2012 and 2013, for a total of 330,000 RSAs over three

years, we reduced our CEO's 2012 and 2013 awards by 33,000 shares each year, requiring 66,000 RSAs to be deducted as a "buy-in" for participation in a six-year performance plan. The three- and six-year compensation designs are consistent with Theravance's multi-year drug research and development business timeline, but if these awards were treated as a repeating annual event (which they are clearly disclosed as not so being), then CEO compensation is overstated significantly. Theravance's perspective on the long-term view of our CEO's 2011 through 2013 compensation is that his compensation is equivalent to 110,000 RSAs per year, yet ISS treats 2011 as 220,000 RSAs (plus maximum potential performance-contingent award earn-out on top).

- ISS treats our CEO's one-time six-year performance-contingent restricted stock grant, some or all of which may be forfeited if challenging performance goals are not achieved, as "annual" compensation in 2011. Further, ISS has valued this performance-contingent grant as though the maximum possible share earn-out will be achieved. In contrast, the probability of achieving any earn-out under the performance-contingent award was considered low enough that no expense was booked in our audited 2011 financials, nor in the first quarter of 2012, nor was any grant date compensation reported in the Summary Compensation Table of our proxy statement.
- ISS' rating of "high concern" on the CEO pay as a multiple of median test generated its negative recommendation. However, if this test had properly
  treated Theravance's annual CEO grant as 110,000 RSAs, then Theravance's CEO pay multiple would be 2.3x the median of ISS' flawed group of too
  small companies, which would be in the "low concern" range under their published policy guidelines.
- A simulation of the ISS quantitative CEO pay for performance test, using Theravance's internal compensation peer group, is shown in the Appendix. The simulation indicates that even if the CEO's entire 2011 front-loaded RSA and the maximum earn-out of the performance-contingent award are treated as "annual" compensation for 2011, then Theravance's CEO pay is still below the "high concern" range.

ISS and Theravance stockholders were aware of the 2011 CEO front-loaded RSA and performance-contingent awards last year, as well as Theravance's 75<sup>th</sup> percentile philosophy and internal peer group. All of this information was disclosed in the March 16, 2011 proxy statement and none of it has changed since then. Meanwhile, ISS supported the Theravance pay program in 2011, as did a substantial majority of the stockholders that voted on the 2011 proposal (98.6% of the vote was "for" the executive pay program). The only changes to Theravance's CEO equity grant program since the 2011 proxy statement disclosure were to make the program *more* stockholder-friendly by (1) committing that CEO equity grants would not exceed 22,000 RSAs in each of 2012 and 2013, and (2) making 50% of the CEO's 2012 RSA award performance-contingent, which was not contemplated in the original three-year program design.

We would also like to reiterate, that 2012 and 2013 CEO compensation will appear to be quite a bit lower than 2011, since the front-loaded structure of the equity grant program causes the most recent proxy statement to show high compensation followed by considerably lower

4

amounts and we have committed not to exceed the original planned grant amounts for our CEO.

Theravance has Demonstrated its Commitment to Best Practice Performance-Based Executive Compensation and Governance Design.

- In fiscal 2011, 60% of executive officer equity awards were performance-based and we consider the performance goals applicable to those awards to be very challenging.
- Approximately 58% of our CEO's equity awards granted since 2007 have been performance-based, and the goals established have been so challenging that 80% of those performance-based awards with a completed performance period were not earned and were forfeited as a result. Theravance implemented pure performance-based equity awards several years ago and the Compensation Committee lived by the terms of those awards, including forfeiture of the shares when the goals were not met.
- We adopted an executive stock ownership guideline covering all named executive officers in early 2012, including a CEO ownership guideline set at six times annual base salary.
- We adopted a cash incentive compensation recoupment ("claw back") policy effective February 8, 2012, and we intend to amend the policy to comply with the additional requirements of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Dodd-Frank Act) after the SEC adopts new regulations for implementation.
- Our insider trading policy for executive officers and non-employee directors prohibits transactions involving short sales and derivatives, including put and call options and forward sales contracts.

The Appendix to this letter provides additional detail to support the conclusions herein.

We invite you to read the Proxy Statement for more information regarding the reasons the Board is recommending a vote "FOR" Proposal 3, Advisory Vote Regarding Executive Compensation.

We appreciate your time and consideration on these matters and ask for your support of the Board's recommendation.

#### APPENDIX

If Theravance's 2011 internal compensation peer group is used for ISS' CEO relative alignment pay-for-performance test, Theravance will fall below ISS' "high concern" range. For the sake of conservatism, this analysis assumes that all CEO RSAs and the maximum earn-out under the performance-contingent award are treated as annual compensation for 2011 (as ISS did it in its report, although inconsistent with Theravance's internal view).

| Estima                                 | ted Res          | ults of ISS' CEO Pay                   | -for-Perfor | mance Tests for Thera | vance's          | 2011 Peer Group         |          | Relative Alignm    | ent Results    |
|--|------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1-Year Relative Alignment (40% Weight) |                  | 3-Year Relative Alignment (60% Weight) |             |                       | Weighted RDA     |                         |          |                    |                |
| Company                                | TSR <sup>2</sup> | Company                                | CEO Pay'    | Company               | TSR <sup>2</sup> | Company                 | CEO Pay' | Performance (TSR)  | 55%            |
| Cubist Pharm                           | 85%              | United Therapeutics                    | \$23,961    | Salix Pharm           | 76%              | United Therapeutics     | \$16,738 | minus Pay          | 77%            |
| Regeneron Pharm                        | 69%              | Medicis Pharm                          | \$19,637    | Incyte                | 58%              | Regeneron Pharm         | \$11,131 | Relative Degree of | -23%           |
| Alkermes                               | 41%              | Regeneron Pharm                        | \$16,740    | Human Genome          | 52%              | Medicis Pharm           | \$10,697 | Alignment (RDA)    | -2.5%          |
| Medicines Co                           | 32%              | Theravance*                            | \$15,002    | Regeneron Pharm       | 45%              | Human Genome            | \$9,744  |                    |                |
| Medicis Pharm                          | 25%              | Human Genome                           | \$11,497    | Medicis Pharm         | 35%              | Theravance <sup>4</sup> | \$6,602  | Key:               |                |
| Onyx Pharm                             | 19%              | Onyx Pharm                             | \$6,289     | Seattle Genetics      | 23%              | Onyx Pharm              | \$5,329  | Low Concern        | > -30%         |
| Seattle Genetics                       | 12%              | Cubist Pharm                           | \$6,027     | Theravance            | 21%              | Amylin Pharm            | \$4,883  | Medium Concern     | -30% to -50%   |
| Salix Pharm                            | 2%               | Incyte                                 | \$5,815     | Cubist Pharm          | 18%              | Alkermes                | \$4,860  | High Concern       | < -50%         |
| Incyte                                 | -9%              | Seattle Genetics                       | \$5,650     | Alkermes              | 18%              | Seattle Genetics        | \$4,317  |                    |                |
| Theravance                             | -12%             | InterMune                              | \$5,616     | United Therapeutics   | 15%              | Cubist Pharm            | \$4,057  | CEO Pay Multi      | de Results     |
| Amylin Pharm                           | -23%             | Nektar Pharm                           | \$5,553     | Onyx Pharm            | 9%               | Nektar Pharm            | \$3,873  |                    |                |
| United Therapeutics                    | -25%             | Medicines Co                           | \$5,386     | Medicines Co          | 8%               | InterMune               | \$3,537  | 1-Year CEO Pa      | y Multiple     |
| ISIS Pharm                             | -29%             | Amylin Pharm                           | \$5,310     | InterMune             | 6%               | Incyte                  | \$3,362  | Peer Group Median  | \$5,633        |
| Xenoport                               | -55%             | Alkermes                               | \$4,603     | Amylin Pharm          | 2%               | Medicines Co            | \$3,253  | Theravance         | 2.66x          |
| Nektar Pharm                           | -56%             | Salix Pharm                            | \$4,183     | Nektar Pharm          | 0%               | Xenoport                | \$2,798  |                    |                |
| InterMune                              | -65%             | Xenoport                               | \$2,597     | ISIS Pharm            | -20%             | Salix Pharm             | \$2,759  | Key:               |                |
| Human Genome                           | -69%             | ISIS Pharm                             | \$2,024     | Xenoport              | -47%             | ISIS Pharm              | \$2,086  | Low Concern        | < 2.33x        |
| THRX % Rank                            | 44%              | THRX % Rank                            | 81%         | THRX % Rank           | 63%              | THRX % Rank             | 75%      | Medium Concern     | 2.33x to 3.33x |
|  |                  | 1-Year RDA                             | -38%        |                       |                  | 3-Year RDA              | -13%     | High Concern       | > 3.33x        |

<sup>1</sup> Compensation data are provided by Equilar, with stock options valued under ISS' methodology, which differs from the ASC 718 grant date fair value reported

in the proxy. OSI Pharmaceuticals and ZymoGenetics are excluded from this analysis, as both companies have been acquired. <sup>2</sup> TSR data are from the Standard & Poor's Research Insight database. One-year TSR reflects the period from 12/31/10 to 12/31/11, and three-year TSR reflects

 Tsk data are from the Standard & Poor's Reset the period from 12/31/08 to 12/31/11.

<sup>3</sup> CEO compensation shown in thousands. One-year reflects fiscal 2011 and three year reflects the mean of fiscal 2009, 2010, and 2 011.

4 Compensation calculated based on ISS' methodology, and includes the maximum performance share award.

2

Theravance is slightly below the median of its internal compensation peers when measured by market capitalization. However, compared to the comparator companies used by ISS in its evaluation of the CEO's 2011 compensation, Theravance's market capitalization is significantly larger. Note that Theravance's market capitalization size is approximately 8.5x larger than the median market cap in ISS' compensation peer group.

| Theravance Size ver   | Compensation Peer Group           | Theravance Size versus ISS' Comparator Group |                |   |                                  |  |                              |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|----------------|---|----------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Market Capitalization Value<br>as of 12/31/11 (\$ millions) |                                   | Last Fiscal Year<br>Revenues (\$ millions)   |                | Market Capitalization Value<br>as of 12/31/11 (\$ millions) |                                  | Last Fiscal Year<br>Revenues (\$ millions)                               |                              |
| Regeneron Pharmaceuticals                                   | \$5,129                           | Cubist Pharmaceuticals                       | \$754          | Ariad Pharmaceuticals                                       | \$1,890                          | ArQule   | \$47                         |
| Salix Pharmaceuticals                                       | \$2,830                           | United Therapeutics                          | \$743          | Theravance  | \$1,881                          | DUSA Pharmaceuticals   | \$44                         |
| Onyx Pharmaceuticals  | \$2,796                           | Medicis Pharmaceuticals                      | \$721          | OPKO Health   | \$1,422                          | Osiris Therapeutics  | \$42                         |
| United Therapeutics   | \$2,756                           | Salix Pharmaceuticals                        | \$651<br>\$540 | ImmunoGen<br>InterMune                                      | \$885<br>\$825                   | Vanda Pharmaceuticals<br>Ligand Pharmaceutical                           | \$31<br>\$30                 |
| Cubist Pharmaceuticals                                      | \$2,439                           |  |                |   |                                  |  |                              |
| Alkermes  | \$2,250                           | Medicines                                    | \$485          | Ardea Biosciences   | \$452                            | Vical  | \$30                         |
| Medicis Pharmaceuticals                                     | \$2,098                           | Onyx Pharmaceuticals                         | \$447          | Vical   | \$317                            | OPKO Health  | \$28                         |
| Seattle Genetics<br>Incyte                                  | \$1,922<br>\$1,896                | 0  | \$446<br>\$187 | ArQule<br>Arena Pharmaceuticals                             | \$303<br>\$273                   | InterMune<br>Ariad Pharmaceuticals                                       | \$26<br>\$25                 |
|   |                                   |  |                |   |                                  |  |                              |
| Amylin Pharmaceuticals                                      | \$1,665                           | ISIS Pharmaceuticals                         | \$99           | Ligand Pharmaceutical                                       | \$234                            | PharmAthene  | \$24                         |
| Human Genome Sciences \$                                    | \$1,468                           | Seattle Genetics                             | \$95           | Osiris Therapeutics<br>Vanda Pharmaceuticals                | \$211<br>\$176<br>\$134<br>\$119 | Repligen<br>Amicus Therapeutics<br>BioCryst Pharmaceuticals<br>ImmunoGen | \$23<br>\$21<br>\$20<br>\$19 |
| Medicines   | terMune \$825 Nektar Therapeutics | Incyte                                       | \$94           |   |                                  |  |                              |
| InterMune   |                                   | Nektar Therapeutics                          | \$71<br>\$44   |   |                                  |  |                              |
| ISIS Pharmaceuticals  |                                   | Xenoport                                     |                |   |                                  |  |                              |
| Nektar Therapeutics   | \$640                             | InterMune                                    | \$26           | BioCryst Pharmaceuticals                                    | \$112                            | GenVec   | \$18                         |
| Xenoport  | \$135                             | Theravance                                   | \$25           | DUSA Pharmaceuticals  | \$108                            | Nabi BioPharmaceuticals  | \$15                         |
| 75th Percentile   | \$2,439                           |  | \$540          | Repligen  | \$107                            | GTx  | \$15                         |
| Median  | \$1,896                           |  | \$187          | Peregrine Pharmaceuticals                                   | \$89                             | Immunomedics   | \$15                         |
| 25th Percentile   | \$1,010                           |  | \$94           | Nabi BioPharmaceuticals                                     | \$81                             | Peregrine Pharmaceuticals  | \$13                         |
|   |                                   |  |                | PharmAthene   | \$61                             | Arena Pharmaceuticals  | \$13                         |
|   |                                   |  |                | GenVec  | \$30                             | Ardea Biosciences  | \$7                          |
|   |                                   |  |                | 75th Percentile   | \$418                            |  | \$30                         |
|   |                                   |  |                | Median  | \$222                            |  | \$24                         |
|   |                                   |  |                | 25th Percentile   | \$109                            |  | \$16                         |
| Theravance Rank   | Theravance Rank 10 of 17 17       |  |                |   | 2 of 22                          | 10   | of 22                        |

Notes: All data are sourced from Standard & Poor's Research Insight database (effective 5/01/12), which may differ from the financial information as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Quartile statistics include Theravance.

OSI Pharmaceuticals and ZymoGenetics are excluded from Theravance's 2011 peer group because they have been acquired; current financial data are not available.

Micromet is excluded from the ISS peer group because it was acquired; current financial data are not available.